

July 2006

Diversity in Washington Higher Education

Improving the participation and performance of African American, Latino, American Indian and Asian American students, faculty and staff in Washington's higher education system represents a pivotal element of the statewide strategic master plan.

The attached report follows upon recent discussions of diversity in Washington higher education, including a thorough review by the HECB Advisory Council at its most recent meeting. The report includes a review as well as recommendations for the improvement of diversity in higher education as it pertains to students, faculty and staff and the campus environment.

During the July 27 meeting, the board will be briefed on this draft report. It is expected that the findings and recommendations will be fully reviewed by higher education stakeholders over the next two months, and that the board will take action to adopt the final report during its regular meeting in September.



Diversity in Washington Higher Education

Introduction

In a broad sense, diversity in higher education includes differences in role and mission, coursework and degree programs, graduate and undergraduate study, numbers of students, a mix of two-year and four-year schools, even campus locations. These differences are the foundation of the state higher education system, and are a significant reason why our colleges and universities are among the best in the world.

Diversity among students, faculty and staff is a cornerstone of that system.

Diversity enriches the educational experience. We learn from those whose experiences, beliefs, and perspectives are different from our own, and these lessons can be taught best in a richly diverse intellectual and social environment.

It promotes personal growth – and a healthy society. Diversity challenges stereotyped preconceptions; it encourages critical thinking and it helps students learn to communicate effectively with people of varied backgrounds.

It strengthens communities and the workplace. Education within a diverse setting prepares students to become good citizens in an increasingly complex, pluralistic society; it fosters mutual respect and teamwork; and it helps build communities whose members are judged by the quality of their character and their contributions.

It enhances America's economic competitiveness. Sustaining the nation's prosperity in the 21st century will require us to make effective use of the talents and abilities of all our citizens, in work settings that bring together individuals from diverse backgrounds and cultures.¹

In addition, public opinion supports the importance of diversity within the higher education system. In a first-ever national poll on diversity in higher education, conducted in 1998 by DYG., Inc., for the Ford Foundation, 91 percent agreed that the global economy makes it more important than ever for all of us to understand people who are different from ourselves. And by a margin of more than three to one, those who had an opinion said that diversity programs in colleges and universities raise, rather than lower, academic standards.

¹American Council on Education (ACE), "On the Importance of Diversity in Higher Education."

Over the past few years, many of Washington's colleges and universities have implemented multifaceted diversity programs and faculty and staff instruction that are aimed at outreach, recruitment, and retention efforts. While often successful individually, these collective programs fall short of what is needed to ensure commensurate participation and achievement of racial and ethnic minorities in higher education.

Of even greater significance are demographic trends. In Washington state, projections indicate a substantial growth in the minority population in the state – from 22 percent currently to 28 percent in 2020. Longer term, the national forecast sustains that trend. According to the Civil Rights Project at Harvard University, "while only a half century ago the country was nearly 90 percent white, within the next 50 years there will be no racial majority."

If education gaps remain the same and changes in demographics occur as projected, the state will face a much starker future with a less educated workforce in a rapidly changing world. Ultimately, our standard of living will drop and the state's economy will suffer.

"Today more than ever, higher education stands as the gateway to the kind of society we will become."

(Lee C. Bollinger, President, Columbia University)

About this report

The Higher Education Coordinating Board (HECB) is responsible for monitoring and reporting on the academic success of African American, Hispanic, Asian American, and American Indian students in Washington's statewide system of higher education.

Previous HECB reports showed that individuals from African American, Hispanic, and American Indian backgrounds were not participating – nor were they achieving academically – at rates comparable to statewide averages. This report presents data showing that despite numerous efforts undertaken by the state's colleges and universities, disparities remain.

In the past few years, as the state's public colleges and universities addressed the issue of increasing diversity and assuring student academic successes, they have had the added challenge presented by Initiative 200 (I-200), passed in November 1998 by Washington voters. I-200 essentially nullified affirmative action efforts on public campuses in all areas – from recruitment to retention to graduation. Despite this prohibition, the state's colleges and universities remain committed to increasing diversity and improving student academic achievement. A major part of this effort involves faculty, as they serve as role models, particularly for minority students.

As Washington state continues to implement the 2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education, taking steps toward eliminating education attainment gaps is critical to reaching the plan's goals: increasing opportunities for students to earn degrees, and responding to the state's economic needs by cultivating a workforce with the knowledge, skills, and education level needed to compete in our increasingly knowledge-based global economy.

This report presents evidence of differences that remain among racial and ethnic groups in indicators of participation and achievement – despite institutional efforts to enhance campus diversity. The report is structured into three main sections: (1) students, (2) faculty and staff, and (3) campus environments.

This report is timely in that it coincides with initial implementation efforts addressed in the strategic master plan. It offers a baseline on indicators that can be reviewed annually to assess progress in closing education attainment gaps. The report takes a statewide focus with an understanding that addressing and increasing diversity is important for all of the state's colleges and universities.

"These persistent gaps in college participation among whites and minorities tell us that we must be more creative and imaginative in developing strategies and finding additional resources so that more students of color are successful on our campuses. The long-term economic and social well being of this country is connected to closing this gap."

William B. Harvey, ACE vice president and director of the Center for Advancement of Racial and Ethnic Equality

The challenge

Unfortunately, despite the commitment and individual efforts of many of the state's colleges and universities, Washington is facing a critical need to address real imbalances in the system – imbalances that will be exacerbated by impending demographic changes.

Diversity within the state's higher education system does not reflect diversity in society. As a result, even maintaining the status quo while societal demographics change would produce formidable challenges to issues of social justice, social and economic health, and educational excellence.

"The primary question put to institutions regarding diversity still remains, 'How much diversity do you have?' A secondary question is, 'How well are your 'diversity' students achieving and how comfortable do they feel in your institution?' I want us to modify the second question and create a third. We must, of course, get rid of the notion that our diversity students are a subset of our students and replace it with the conviction that our diversity students are all our students. Then we must add the third question, 'What are you doing educationally with the diversity you've got? How are you using it intentionally as an educational resource? And how are these uses benefiting all your students?"

Edgar F. Beckham, senior fellow at AAC&U and emeritus dean of the college at Wesleyan University; "Diversity at the Crossroads: Mapping Our Work in the Years Ahead."

Opportunity

There is no shortage of research regarding the importance of racial diversity in the college environment. Inclusive education helps students from all racial and ethnic backgrounds learn, increases college retention, and better prepares graduates to become active participants in society.

In addition, more closely aligning college demographics with societal demographics would have a significant impact on the economy.

"If African-American and Latino workers were represented at colleges and universities in the same proportions as their share of 18- to 24-year olds, U.S. wealth would increase by \$231 billion every year, annual tax revenues would increase by \$80 billion, and the proportion of minority families with inadequate incomes would decrease."

Anthony P. Carnevale, vice president for public leadership at the Educational Testing Service, January 1999.

Recommendations

The following steps aim toward reducing the current imbalance of racial and ethnic diversity in the Washington higher education system and increasing participation and achievement among Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native students.

Stepping up pre-college efforts

- Establish a pre-college scholarship program to be administered jointly by the HECB and OSPI to bring underrepresented students into institutions of higher education during the summer as well as the regular year for meaningful academic experiences, including interaction with college students, faculty, and professional staff members.
- Create additional student outreach programs. In partnership with colleges and universities, build on successful existing pre-college programs such as GEAR UP to ensure that junior high and high school students statewide are aware of college opportunities and how to access those opportunities.
 - The Early Academic Outreach program in California has existed for the past several decades. The program has established clear objectives to serve the educational communities near each UC campus and create academically oriented programs which serve the needs of those students who need an ongoing focus on educational access beyond high school graduation.
- Coordinate existing pre-college programs by strengthening the network among colleges
 and universities to enhance program delivery and reach increasingly more students each
 year.

Helping students succeed in college

- Enhance student participation in Washington higher education by emulating successful state, regional and national programs that facilitate greater enrollment and retention of students of color.
 - The POSSE Foundation identifies, recruits, and trains young leaders from urban public high schools and sends them as "Posses" to the country's top colleges and universities.
 - PEOPLE which for "Pre-College Enrichment Program for Learning Excellence" is a Wisconsin program that seeks to increase enrollment and graduation of minority and disadvantaged students from middle school through 12th grade. The program is based on a number of studies that demonstrate that enrollment and graduation rates can be increased by pre-college programs that: (1) encourage students to aspire to opportunities available through higher education, and (2) assist students in developing critical academic skills.
- Intervene continually to monitor the academic performance and progress of students.
 Establish early warning/intervention programs at the institutional level and consider contractual agreements for student advising.

- Seek and obtain associate status for Washington in the Southern Regional Education Board's Minority Doctoral Scholars Program.
- Support and encourage outreach efforts among graduate and professional educational programs designed to provide information to undergraduates and secondary students.
 May include the development of clear articulation pathways from high school through degree attainment.

Improving faculty diversity

- Fund visiting professorships between Washington institutions and Historically Black
 Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) and Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSIs) to bring
 more Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native faculty members
 onto campuses for a semester or a year-long scholarly experience.
- Create a statewide adjunct faculty program or private sector-outreach effort to bring career professionals particularly in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology (SMET) fields onto Washington campuses to teach, counsel, and advise students.
- Implement faculty and staff development programs that would enable existing
 professionals on campus to enhance their qualifications and take on higher level
 positions.
- Provide incentive funding for institutions to convert more part-time faculty positions into full-time posts.

Promoting systemic change

- Assure that diversity goals are embedded into the implementation of initiatives proposed in the 2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education.
- Institutionalize incentives that will make the best teachers available to those students who need them the most. Concentrate particularly on SMET related fields.
- Work with the higher education community to identify or design an "accountability" system in which benchmarks of equity or excellence would be defined for different indicators of student, faculty, and staff outreach, participation, and outcomes. The system would include baseline data and would track the institutions' progress toward benchmarks.
- Convene a biennial meeting to bring together staff from the state's public and private
 institutions of higher education to share ideas, celebrate successes, and provide feedback
 to the HECB and to one another. Such meetings would help ensure continual progress in
 providing higher education access and opportunity to Washington residents.



Executive Summary

Improving the participation and performance of African American, Latino, American Indian and Asian American students, faculty and staff in Washington's higher education system represents a pivotal element of the statewide strategic master plan. This report includes current statistics and trend data for student enrollment, retention, and graduation; and provides an overview of some of the diversity related programs and practices that are currently in effect. In addition, the report presents a number of recommendations for advancing programmatic efforts to foster greater equity through enhancing diversity in higher education.

Three fundamental conclusions derive from this report:

- 1) While college enrollment for some American racial and ethnic minority students has begun to rebound following the passage of I-200 in 1998, most of the data reflect areas where the state is merely maintaining the status quo, or worse yet is losing ground.
- 2) Continual efforts in our colleges and universities are a step in the right direction, but do not address a greater need for systemic change.
- 3) Recommendations for enhancing diversity must address four key areas: stepping up precollege efforts; helping students succeed in college; improving faculty diversity; and promoting systemic change. In addition, increasing minority participation and achievement will require greater collaboration among stakeholders, shared responsibility for results, and ongoing benchmarks and accountability measures.

Along with extensive research data, the recommendations in this report are based on significant outreach efforts. Over the past few years, the HECB has conducted two comprehensive surveys aimed at gathering information from the state's public and private, two- and four-year colleges and universities about institutional diversity efforts. Some of those outreach and recruitment strategies are highlighted in this report.

The success of any statewide diversity initiative hinges on its collaborative nature. No state-level policy will bear fruit unless it synchronizes with campus-based efforts to improve the quality of higher education for all students. In furtherance of this aim, the HECB has recently convened a series of broad based meetings with institutional educationally and economically disadvantaged student program coordinators – including two this spring.

In June 2006, the HECB Advisory Council met with approximately two dozen stakeholders who are involved with diversity programs and outreach efforts statewide. Those meetings provided key information on current efforts, as well as recommendations for next steps.

Research findings and key data are summarized below.

High School-to-College Continuation Rates

The percentage of some minority groups enrolling in college falls in 1999; begins to increase by 2002:

- In fall 1998, before the passage of I-200, 71 percent of Asian/Pacific Islander, 56 percent of white, 55 percent of African American, 52 percent of American Indian, and 50 percent of Hispanic public high school graduates in the class of 1999 entered postsecondary education.
- In fall 1999, after the passage of I-200, lower percentages of African American (53 percent), American Indian (46 percent), and Hispanic students (46 percent) from the graduating class of 1999, entered postsecondary education; while percentages of Asian/Pacific Islander (72 percent), and white students (56 percent) entered postsecondary education at rates comparable to their earlier levels.
- In fall 2000, the percentages of the class of 2000 graduates enrolling in higher education fell for all racial and ethnic groups.
- By 2002 and 2003, the percentages enrolling in college directly out of high school for all groups had surpassed the 2000 rates with the exception of American Indians.

First-Time-to-College Freshmen

The percentage of minority students entering college varies among two-year, four-year, public and private schools:

- Comparisons of fall 1998 and fall 1999 enrollments of first-time freshmen seeking a
 degree or credential show that in the public four-year sector, enrollment dropped for
 American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students and rose for white and
 Asian/Pacific Islander students.
- In the public two-year sector, the enrollments of first-time freshmen decreased between fall 1998 and fall 1999 for American Indian and Asian/Pacific Islander students, and increased for African American, Hispanic, and white students.
- In the private four-year sector, the enrollments of first-time freshmen decreased between fall 1998 and fall 1999 for American Indian and Asian/Pacific Islander students; remained essentially the same for African American students; and increased for Hispanic and white students.
- In the two-year private sector, the enrollment for first-time freshmen decreased from 1998 to 1999 for white students and increased for other racial and ethnic groups.

Undergraduate Enrollment

Enrollment of Hispanic and African American students is lower than the percentage of collegeaged state population for both groups:

- In fall 2005, Hispanic students comprised 5.2 percent of the total undergraduate enrollment in the state's colleges and universities. This was considerably lower then their representation in the state's 17-39-year-old population, which was 11.3 percent. The percentages of enrollment for other racial and ethnic groups were similar or higher than their percentages of the population between the ages of 17 and 39.
- However, in the public four-year sector, enrollments of both African American and
 Hispanic students comprised a lower percentage of the total enrollment than their share of
 the state's 17-39-year-old population. Furthermore, African American and Hispanic
 students represent a smaller percentage of total enrollment in the public four-year sector,
 compared with other sectors.

Graduate/Professional Enrollment

Minority students are underrepresented in graduate and professional enrollments:

• In most cases, when compared to their representation in the state population between the ages of 17 and 39, minority groups are underrepresented in the graduate and professional enrollments in both public and private four-year institutions.

Persistence in Community and Technical Colleges

Some minority groups are less likely to complete or maintain progress in two-year degree programs:

- Of the students enrolled in community and technical colleges who intend to pursue a degree, American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students are more likely than Asian/Pacific Islander or white students to become "early leavers;" that is, attend only one quarter and not return within two years' time.
- In this same group of students, American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students are less likely than Asian/Pacific Islander and white students to have made "substantial progress" in their programs (i.e., substantial progress means graduating or attending four or more quarters over a two-year period).

College Graduation Rates

Some minority groups are less likely to complete degree programs within three years (for two-year programs) or six years (for four-year programs):

• Regardless of sector (public or private, two-year or four-year), American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students are less likely to graduate within 150 percent of the expected time to graduation (for degree or certificate programs) than Asian/Pacific Islander and white students.

Degrees Awarded

Degree completion for some minority students is lower than their percentage of the state's population:

• Similar to the enrollment situation at the institutions, Hispanic, African American, and American Indian students earn a smaller percentage of degrees than their representation in the population.

Faculty

The percentage of minority faculty is much smaller than comparable undergraduate enrollment:

• The percentages of racial and ethnic minority faculty are much smaller than the percentages of the same racial and ethnic groups' undergraduate enrollments.

Senior Academic Staff

The percentage of senior administrators of color is less than the percentage of students of color:

• Senior academic staff are generally promoted from faculty positions and the representation of racial and ethnic minorities in these positions more closely reflects the profile of faculty than the student racial and ethnic profile.

Students

In Washington, institutions of higher education are sensitive to diversity at every stage of the pipeline. Outreach and recruitment efforts aim toward getting students prepared, admitted, and enrolled. Such programs are typically thought of as targeting students in the K-12 sector. But outreach and recruitment also occurs on community college campuses for students who plan to transfer to four-year institutions, and on four-year campuses for graduate and professional schools. Once students enroll, the focus of support turns to retention, persistence, and graduation. As described below, these efforts are varied and numerous.

Washington's institutions, however, have been operating under the constraints of Initiative 200 (I-200) passed by the citizens of Washington in November 1998. I-200 essentially put an end to affirmative action efforts on public college and university campuses – particularly with respect to admissions practices, but basically in all areas of outreach, recruitment, and retention.² Therefore, while institutions remain committed to enhancing diversity on their campuses, they must assure that they are complying with state law, which has made implementation of strategies to increase diversity somewhat more challenging.

²Initiative 200 is described in statute (RCW 49.60.400-401) as: The state shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any individual or group on the basis of race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin in the operation of public employment, public education, or public contracting.

Outreach and Recruitment

In April 2006, the HECB surveyed the public baccalaureate institutions, two-year colleges, and the independent colleges of Washington. The majority of the institutions that responded to the survey enlisted a range of outreach and recruitment strategies to attract a diverse student body. These included financial assistance (81 percent), community-based recruitment (87 percent), and pre-college programs and outreach (84 percent). About 90 percent of the responding institutions indicated that they sponsor outreach programs to high school students with an emphasis on underrepresented student populations, and 68 percent sponsor programs in middle schools.

Students' aspirations and adequate academic preparation are important prerequisites for participation in higher education (Choy 2002). To that end, many of the state's colleges and universities participate in federally-funded pre-collegiate programs – such as GEAR UP and Upward Bound – and also have designed their own outreach and recruitment programs. A few of these programs are described below.

In 2002, the **University of Washington Tacoma** (UWT) partnered with the Metropolitan Development Council, a local non-profit agency, to co-author and co-sponsor a U.S. Department of Education Educational Talent Search TRIO grant. The grant was funded at \$190,000 per year for five years and is housed on the UWT campus. It serves low-income, first-generation and disabled students attending Mt. Tahoma and Lincoln High Schools, plus several middle schools that feed these institutions. A total of 475 middle school, 400 high school and 25 re-entry students were selected to participate in the program in which the partners provide college preparation workshops, tutoring, and summer-bridge activities. One object of the program is that these students will consider enrolling at UWT.

Heritage University in Toppenish attracts and nurtures elementary and middle school students – those often considered unlikely to attend college – by participating in community events, such as Native American pow-wows and Mexican-American fiestas. Through a partnership with the Yakima Valley Opportunities Industrialization Center's (OIC) Program and Washington State Migrant Council (WSMC), Heritage also helps students who are seasonal workers obtain a GED and be placed in career positions, military services, postsecondary, or other training programs.

Central Washington University (CWU) hosts a number of federally funded pipeline, student preparation, and academic support programs that encourage underrepresented students to attend college and support those who do. Included among these programs are the College Assistance Migrant Program (CAMP), High School Equivalency Program (HEP), Student Support Services (SSS), and two GEAR UP programs.

At **Peninsula College**, the TRIO Dissemination Program has increased the racial and ethnic diversity of the campus by focusing recruitment efforts on underrepresented student populations. The program has served as a springboard in the pursuit of other TRIO programs that are successful in extending college opportunity to more diverse student populations.

Walla Walla Community College provides outreach to the community by offering a variety of satellite programs that are designed to serve certain populations, including the Spanish speaking. Such programs are currently in place at Garrison Middle School, the Farm Labor Camp, Touchet, Tyson, and WorkSource.

Although these outreach and recruitment programs have taken important steps toward improving diversity and are relatively successful on an individual basis, they fall short when taken as a whole. If these efforts are sufficient, there would be a greater similarity between the numbers of students participating in these programs and the percentage of racial and ethnic groups entering college.

High School-to-College Continuation Rates

Figure 1 shows the percentages of public school graduates going directly to college for the year before I-200 was implemented, and during the four years subsequent to its passage.³

80% 70% 69% Percent Directly to College 60% 56% 56% 55% 55% 50% 4<u>9</u>% 53% 50% 46%_{45%} 46% 46% ^{41%}40% 40% 38% 20% Asian/Pac Islander White African American Hispanic **American Indian Graduation Year ■** 1998 **■** 1999 **■** 2000 **■** 2002

Figure 1
Asian/Pacific Islander and White High School Graduates Are More Likely To Go Directly
To College than American Indian, African American, and Hispanic Graduates

Source: WSU SESRC. Washington State Graduate Follow-up Study (various years).

-

³See Table A2 in Appendix A for more detailed data.

The data appear to show an initial negative impact of I-200 on the high school-to-college continuation rates for American Indian, African American, and Hispanic graduates. The rates continue to fall for the class of 2000; however, in that year, the percentages fell for all students – indicating influential factors other than I-200. By the class of 2002, with the exception of American Indian students, the percentages had rebounded somewhat, but still fell below pre-I-200 levels. It is likely that the recoveries were due, at least in part, to the numerous efforts of the state's institutions of higher education.

For the class of 2003 (the last year for which data are available), the percentages had dropped slightly (about 1 percent) from the prior 2002 levels. Perhaps this small change indicates a leveling effect. Furthermore, it should be noted that in all years presented in the graph, lower percentages of American Indian, African American, and Hispanic high school graduates go directly into higher education – compared to Asian/Pacific Islander and white high school graduates.

First-Time-To-College Freshmen

An alternative view of the possible negative effects of I-200 would consider changes in the enrollment of first-time freshmen.⁴ The data in Table 1 show that there are differences depending on sector (public four-year, public two-year).

Table 1

First-Time-In-Coll	ege Freshmen by	Race/Ethnicity	& Sector: Fall	1998 and Fall	1999
	American <u>Indian</u>	Asian/ Pacific <u>Islander</u>	African <u>American</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	White
	P	ublic Four-Year			
Fall 1998	214	1,429	308	457	8,103
Fall 1999	172	1,506	246	368	8,217
Change: 1998 to 1999	-42	77	-62	-89	114
	P	ublic Two-Year			
Fall 1998	373	1,032	588	838	12,047
Fall 1999	254	986	676	855	12,528
Change: 1998 to 1999	-119	-46	88	17	481
	Pr	rivate Four-Year	r		
Fall 1998	54	475	126	167	3,862
Fall 1999	48	419	125	200	3,963
Change: 1998 to 1999	-6	-56	-1	33	101
	Private Two-Ye	ar / Sub-baccala	ureate Sectors		
Fall 1998	114	386	364	313	4,238
Fall 1999	122	453	388	325	4,060
Change: 1998 to 1999	8	67	24	12	-178

Notes: Students of "unknown" and "nonresident alien" backgrounds are excluded from the table. Because of the small numbers for some of the racial groups, the findings should be interpreted with caution.

Source: NCES, IPEDS Fall Enrollment Survey, 1998, 1999. See Table A2 in Appendix A for additional data.

Given that the restrictions of I-200 were placed on only public institutions, differences among sectors would be expected. However, the pattern of differences seems to indicate that many factors influence student enrollments. In the public four-year sector, there were decreases between fall 1998 and fall 1999 in the number of first-time American Indian, African American, and Hispanic freshmen enrolled.

These decreases appear to validate the assertion of I-200's negative effect on traditionally underrepresented minorities. However, in the public two-year sector, the decreases were experienced by only American Indian and Asian/Pacific Islander groups. Asian/Pacific Islander and American Indian groups also experienced decreases in the private four-year sector. Finally, in the private two-year sector, there was an enrollment decrease for white students only.

⁴These first-time freshmen are defined by IPEDS. The definition excludes those who are not seeking a degree or certificate.

Seven years after the passage of I-200, racial and ethnic group enrollments – with a few exceptions – have exceeded enrollments in fall 1998 (see table A3 in Appendix A for detailed numbers). The increases experienced by minority groups are presumably the result of several factors, including the outreach and retention efforts of the state's institutions; the increasing enrollment of students from all racial and ethnic groups; and the diminishing effect over time of I-200. Despite the increases and what appears to be a recovery, participation gaps among racial and ethnic groups remain, and under-representation among minority groups continues.

Enrollment, Persistence, and Graduation

Getting students to enroll in college is a major step. Once enrolled, it is important that schools support students in attaining their academic goals. In some cases, this may mean upgrading knowledge or skills. However, given the goals of the statewide 2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education, helping those who aspire to a degree or credential attain their goals is particularly important. Research has shown that the path from first enrolling in college to graduation is neither linear nor continuous for many students (Adelman 1999). However, graduation is key, and doing so in a timely manner is important. The investment of time and money that students expend correlates directly with increases in the time it takes to graduate.

Researchers have learned that there are academic and nonacademic factors related to college retention and graduation (Lotkowski et al., 2004). Therefore, to be successful, retention programs must address the social, emotional, financial, and academic needs of students. To that end, many of the state's colleges and universities are implementing multi-pronged efforts.

Of the institutions responding to the HECB survey on diversity strategies and practices, 65 percent report offering a comprehensive recruitment and retention strategy for underrepresented students. About 55 percent require baccalaureate students to take a specified number of credits in courses that reflect diverse cultures, and 61 percent have reviewed general education requirements to ensure that diversity knowledge and skills are embedded in the curriculum. About 74 percent of responding institutions indicate that they offer academic majors that prepare students to live and work in a diverse society.

Some specific examples of retention efforts are briefly described below:

Heritage University serves an undergraduate population that is about 70 percent minority. The school provides a comprehensive package – including such services and strategies as a personalized support system, pre-college ESL and skill-development courses, special programs to recruit and support college access for migrant family students, an academic skills center with extensive hours, free tutoring, peer-tutoring groups, multicultural student clubs, activities on campus for students' families, small classes and close contact with faculty, a fund providing small emergency loans to students, an on-campus educational childcare program that accepts children from infancy through 12 years of age, and grants providing well-paying research fellowships/ scholarships and opportunities.

Western Washington University (WWU) and the University of Washington Tacoma (UWT) offer incentives in the form of financial assistance. WWU's Multicultural Achievement Program (MAP) scholarships recognize students with solid academic abilities who have made significant contributions to, and have strong experiences in, multicultural leadership. UWT uses tuition waiver awards that recognize the culture and contributions of renowned Americans of diverse ethnic backgrounds. It prominently displays the essays and photographs of top award recipients, thereby sending a message to enrolled and prospective students about its commitment to diversity.

WWU has realigned campus resources to strengthen retention activities – such as one-to-one advising interventions that provide proactive and personal connections for students at academic risk, and a program that continually assesses the academic trends among Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native students (e.g., persistence and graduation rates).

The Evergreen State College (TESC) has collaborated with Tacoma Community College and South Puget Sound Community College in a retention project called "Critical Moments." The project prepares students, faculty, and administrators to respond proactively to campus and classroom events that involve issues of race. The project complements many existing strategies for improving the campus climate by empowering students to act on behalf of themselves and their communities. For Evergreen, the project contributes to the cultural knowledge of faculty, staff, and students and promotes collaboration between academic and student services.

Many institutions provide for student clubs and support services that focus on retention. **Pacific Lutheran University** has a dedicated student Diversity Center, as well as increased personalized support to its minority students. **Western Washington University's** Associated Students Ethnic Student Center, a student-run organization that houses numerous ethnic student clubs, assists students in transition to the university, provides a sense of community, helps students develop their cultural identity, and supports social justice activities.

Heritage and St. Martin's Universities provide curricular opportunities to increase students' knowledge and understanding of diversity. Heritage has two "Heritage Core" courses that integrate experiential learning in cross-cultural communication with academic-success skills. Students gain awareness of their own cultural identity and the norms and values of the cultures within which they live, appreciation for those different from themselves, and an ability to communicate across cultures. St. Martin's University has developed long-term relationships with universities in Japan and China that provide opportunities for student exchange during both the academic year and the summer.

The University of Puget Sound will host a Conference on Race and Pedagogy on September 14-16, 2006. The conference will bring together scholars, teachers, and students as well as community partners to discuss the pedagogical implications of race in higher education, particularly but not exclusively in institutions and programs oriented toward a liberal education in the arts and sciences.

Despite these and many other efforts, indicators of persistence show that American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students are less likely to persist and also less likely to graduate in a timely manner, compared to Asian/Pacific Islander and white students.

Undergraduate Enrollment

Undergraduate enrollment (freshmen through seniors) reflects outreach, recruitment, and retention efforts. As shown in Table 2, when compared to their representation in the state's 17 to 39 year-old population, Hispanics appear to be the more substantially underserved group in the undergraduate student population.

Hispanics are the group whose undergraduate enrollment is underrepresented across all sectors, compared to the state's 17-39 year-old population

Table 2

Fall 2005: Percentage of Sector's Undergraduate Enrollment

						Private
		Overall:				Two-Year/
	% of	% of Under-			Private	Sub-
	Population	graduate	Public	Public	Four-	baccalaureate
	Ages 17-39	Enrollment	Four-Year	Two-Year	Year	Sector*
American Indian/Alaska Native	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.5%	1.7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7.6%	8.4%	12.5%	6.8%	8.0%	7.2%
African American	3.9%	4.0%	2.9%	4.5%	3.9%	7.3%
Hispanic/Latino	11.3%	5.2%	4.5%	5.4%	5.3%	5.5%
White	73.0%	65.8%	66.3%	65.1%	67.9%	69.7%
Two or more races	2.6%					
Unknown race/ethnicity		12.9%	9.8%	14.6%	10.3%	8.4%
Nonresident Alien		2.1%	2.3%	2.0%	3.1%	0.2%
						*2004 data
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes:

- IPEDS enrollment numbers do not use the category of "two or more races" which is found in census/population data.
- Enrollments for students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic backgrounds are included to indicate their proportions of the overall total. ("Nonresident alien" definition in IPEDS: A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely.)
- Public two-year data includes Northwest Indian College (a federally funded tribal college).

Sources: NCES: IPEDS 2005 Fall Enrollment Survey (2004 used for Private Two-Year / Sub-baccalaureate sector); Office of Financial Management Web site: "2004 Population Estimates by Age, Gender, Race and Hispanic Origin, Using the Office of Management and Budget New Classifications: State of Washington and Its Counties," November 2004 (latest update).

Despite these seemingly positive indicators of participation, an examination of the racial and ethnic group distributions within sectors – public four-year, public two-year, private four-year, and private two-year – raises significant questions. For example, African Americans and Hispanics represent a smaller percentage of total enrollment in the public four-year sector, compared to other sectors. Asian/Pacific Islanders are enrolled in the public four-year sector at a higher proportion than their representation in the 17-39-year-old population would indicate. However, the proportion of students who are classified as "unknown" has implications for overall analysis – because the "unknown" percentage is higher than several of the racial groups. Nevertheless, the findings in Table 2 raise concerns about factors that influence enrollment patterns – particularly for Hispanics, African Americans and American Indians.

Graduate/Professional Enrollment

As shown in Table 3, compared to the 17-39 year-old population, all groups are underrepresented in the overall enrollment data at the graduate/professional level.

Table 3

All racial and ethnic groups are underrepresented in graduate	and
professional enrollments compared to the 17-39 year-old popula	tion

Fall 2005: Percentage of Sector's Graduate/ Professional Enrollment

	% of Population Ages 17-39	Overall: % of Graduate/ Professional <u>Enrollment</u>	Public Four-Year	Private Four-Year
American Indian/Alaska Native	1.6%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7.6%	7.3%	7.7%	6.6%
African American	3.9%	2.8%	2.0%	4.0%
Hispanic/Latino	11.3%	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%
White	73.0%	64.8%	63.3%	67.0%
Two or more races	2.6%			
Unknown race/ethnicity		12.1%	10.8%	14.1%
Nonresident Alien		8.5%	11.7%	3.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes: IPEDS enrollment numbers do not use the category of "two or more races" which is found in census/population data. Enrollments for students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic backgrounds are included to indicate their proportions of the overall total. ("Nonresident alien" definition in IPEDS: A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely.)

Sources: NCES: IPEDS 2005 Fall Enrollment Survey; Office of Financial Management Web site: "2004 Population Estimates by Age, Gender, Race and Hispanic Origin, Using the Office of Management and Budget New Classifications: State of Washington and Its Counties," November 2004 (latest update).

Again, Hispanics (as seen in undergraduate enrollments) show the greatest under-representation (see Table A5 in Appendix A for more details). It appears, however, that institutions are doing somewhat better with the undergraduate enrollments of students of color, compared to graduate and professional enrollments.

Persistence in Community and Technical Colleges

As indicated previously, college enrollments reflect outreach, recruitment, and retention efforts. Measures of persistence may provide a way to parse out the impact of retention efforts from initial outreach and recruitment efforts. The data in Table 4 show that American Indian, African American, and Hispanic degree-seekers are more likely to be "early leavers" than are Asian/Pacific Islander and white students. Additionally, American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students are less likely to be making "substantial progress" than Asian/Pacific Islander and white students.⁵

Table 4

Community and Technical Colleges: American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students are less likely to persist than Asian/Pacific Islander and white students

	Substantial Progress*	Early Leavers**
Am Indian/Alaska Native	58%	14%
Asian/Pacific Islander	73%	9%
African American	58%	15%
Hispanic/Latino	62%	11%
White	70%	9%

^{*&}quot;Substantial Progress" includes those who attend four or more quarters – or graduate – over a two-year period.
**"Early Leavers" are those who attend one quarter, and don't subsequently return within the following two years.

Notes: The percentages are based on an average of the years 1999-2003. Data are for full-time students only. The pattern for part-time students of color generally follows the same trend.

Source: State Board for Community and Technical Colleges, Academic Year Report 2004-2005.

The State Board for Community and Technical Colleges has regularly reported on the progress made by students who enroll with degree plans. Specifically, they define and measure the percentage of these students who make "substantial progress" or are "early leavers." Students graduating or attending four or more quarters over a two-year period are making "substantial progress." "Early leavers" are students attending only one quarter and not returning within two years' time.

⁵The percentages in Table 4 are five-year averages, 1999-2003. These "substantial progress" and "early leaver" percentages are similar in magnitude to prior five-year averages: 1996-2000, 1997-2001, and 1998-2002. See Table A6 in Appendix A for earlier data.

⁶State Board for Community and Technical Colleges also reports on students who make "some progress;" however, this measure was left out of this analysis.

Transfer Rates

Another measure of persistence is the percentage of transfer-ready students who transfer from community colleges to four-year institutions. The data in Table 5 show that in 2001-2002, the transfer rates to public four-year institutions for those who were transfer-ready were lower for American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students compared to Asian/Pacific Islander and white students.⁷

Table 5

Transfers from community colleges to public four-year institutions:
Asian/Pacific Islander and white students are more likely to transfer than
American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students

Percent Transferring

American Indian/Alaska Native	32%
Asian/Pacific Islander	46%
African American	25%
Hispanic/Latino	36%
White	38%

Notes: The data are for transfer-ready students transferring in 2001-2002 to public institutions. Students transferring to private four-year and out-of-state institutions are not included in these numbers.

Sources: State Board for Community and Technical College e-mail communication on 1/6/2005.

Because underrepresented Hispanic, African American and American Indian/Alaska Native students make up a larger percentage of public two-year enrollments than four-year enrollments, increasing their transfer rates appears to be a sensible way to increase the participation of students of color on four-year campuses.

Graduation Rates

The National Center for Education Statistics, through its Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), collects data on the amount of time that students take to graduate. For an associate degree, this time is typically three years; for a bachelor's degree, the time is six years. For certificates, the time varies, depending on the type of certificate. Graduation rate data reflect first-time, full-time enrollees who graduate without transferring from the school in which they first enrolled. Given the tendency of many students to move in and out of schools, these data are limited in that they do not capture all students who graduate with a degree or certificate regardless of school. Furthermore, particularly with the public two-year institutions, many

⁷ Students are expected to transfer to private four-year institutions and out-of-state institutions as well. However, these data were not available when this report was being prepared. The expectation is that the trends would not change appreciably with the addition of information from private and out-of-state schools.

students transfer to four-year institutions without completing their associate degree programs. Nonetheless, these data allow us to make comparisons among racial and ethnic groups.

The data in Table 6 show that regardless of their sector or level, lower percentages of American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students graduate (obtain a bachelor's degree or certificate) when compared to Asian/Pacific Islander and white students – without transferring – within 150 percent of the expected time to graduation. (See table A7 in Appendix A for detailed numbers.)

Table 6

2005 Graduation Rates:

Percentages represent those degree/certificate-seeking students who graduate within 150% of the normal expected time. Rates are lower for American Indian, African American, and Hispanic students when compared to Asian/Pacific Islander and white students.

Percentage completing within 150% of normal time

	Public <u>Four-Year</u>	Private <u>Four-Year</u>	Public <u>Two-Year</u>	Private <u>Two-Year*</u>
American Indian/Alaska Native	51.2%	48.8%	20.4%	54.7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	69.5%	65.4%	37.6%	69.3%
African American	47.1%	54.6%	25.2%	59.5%
Hispanic/Latino	56.5%	57.8%	26.0%	60.1%
White	64.6%	68.2%	33.8%	66.6%
Total	64.8%	65.7%	33.1%	65.0% *2004 data

Notes: Four-year cohorts seeking a bachelor's degree started in fall 1999, and two-year cohorts seeking an associate degree started in fall 2002. Program length for certificate-seeking students varies. Rates reflect those who initially enroll as full-time first-time freshmen, and who continue and graduate at the same institution where they first enrolled within 150 percent of "normal" time. (Transfer students who graduate are not included in the data). Students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic categories are not included.

Sources: NCES, IPEDS 2005 Graduation Rate Survey (2004 Survey for Private Two-Year).

However, even the percentages for white and Asian/Pacific Islander students are not as good as they could be. There are many reasons why students take longer than the expected time to graduate. It is important, therefore, to determine which different strategies are needed to effectively address the needs of different students in helping them graduate, and graduate in a timely manner.

Degrees Awarded

Graduation rates, as reflected in Table 6 (above), look at a cohort of students who enrolled full-time as freshmen, and continued at the same institution – without transferring. This cohort of graduates (as reported in IPEDS) is a subset of the total number who receive degrees in any given year. Therefore, another perspective looks at the number of degrees awarded in a single year, by race/ethnicity. Data reflecting degrees awarded. (Table 7 below) provides information on all students who received bachelors degrees in a given year – whether or not the students were enrolled full-time or part-time as freshmen, without reference to the total number of years that individual students had been enrolled, and without reference to the number of institutions where individual students might have studied.

Table 7

Number and percentage of bachelor's degrees awarded, by race/ethnicity: 2004-05

	Public Four-Year		Private Four-Year		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
American Indian/Alaska Native	323	1.6%	82	1.1%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	2,376	11.5%	621	8.2%	
African American	489	2.4%	235	3.1%	
Hispanic/ Latino	713	3.4%	293	3.9%	
White	14,025	67.8%	5,297	69.9%	
Unknown race/ethnicity	2,173	10.4%	780	10.3%	
Nonresident Alien	593	2.9%	265	3.5%	
Total	20,692	.,,,,	7,573	2.2,3	

Source: NCES, Completions Survey 2005 (for academic year 2004-05).

Notes: Data for students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic backgrounds are included to indicate their proportions of the overall total. ("Nonresident alien" definition in IPEDS: A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely.)

The data in Table 7 provide a "snapshot" of bachelor's degrees awarded at public four-year and private four-year institutions in 2004-05; the most recent year for which data are available. The data below are consistent with enrollment data for undergraduates shown in Table 2. White students earn the greatest number of degrees, and also represent most of the total enrollment, followed by Asian/Pacific Islanders. And, consistent with enrollment patterns, more than 10 percent of the students receiving degrees are classified as being of "unknown race/ethnicity." (See Appendix A, Table A8, for more degree information.)

Comparison of Population Data and Enrollment/Degree Data

A comparison of population and enrollment/degree data allows a "wide-angle" view of race/ethnicity in higher education. Table 8 shows the current make-up of the 17-39-year-old population, along with data on undergraduate enrollment and bachelor's degrees granted. Furthermore, the addition of population projections (for ages 17-39) to the year 2020 provides a perspective on which race/ethnic groups are expected in increase.

Table 8

Comparison of 17-39-year-old population, undergraduate enrollment, and bachelor's degrees in Washington							
		CURRENT		PROJECTED			
	2004: % of Population Ages 17-39	Fall 2005: % of All Under- graduate Enrollment	2004-05: % of All Bachelor's Degrees <u>Awarded</u>	2020 Projections: % of Population Ages 17-39			
American Indian/Alaska Native	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%			
Asian/Pacific Islander	7.6%	8.4%	10.6%	8.8%			
African American	3.9%	4.0%	2.6%	4.2%			
Hispanic/Latino	11.3%	5.2%	3.6%	15.0%			
White	73.0%	65.8%	68.4%	66.5%			
Two or more races	2.6%			3.8%			
Unknown race/ethnicity		12.9%	10.4%				
Nonresident Alien		2.1%	3.0%				
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Sources: Population: OFM Web site; Enrollment: IPEDS, Fall Enrollment 2005 (data reflect all public and private institutions: four-year, two-year, etc.); Degrees: IPEDS, Completions 2005 (data reflect public and private four-year institutions that award bachelor's degrees).

Notes: IPEDS data do not use the category of "two or more races" which is found in census/population data. Data for students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic backgrounds are included to indicate their proportions of the overall total. ("Nonresident alien" definition in IPEDS: A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely.)

Of specific interest are Hispanics, who are currently underrepresented in undergraduate enrollments and bachelor's degree attainment at four-year institutions (when compared to their representation in the state population). Without intervention it seems likely that this discrepancy may grow – because the Hispanic population is projected to increase significantly over time.

Again, it should be noted that there is not a direct correlation between population data and enrollment/degree data (from IPEDS). In particular, the use of the "unknown" race/ethnic category is not used in census data. Presumably, at least some of those designated as "unknown" would include members of racial and/or ethnic minorities. Nonetheless, the discrepancies between census representation and higher education participation (for those with specific race/ethnic coding) is indicative of the under-representation of several groups.

Faculty

Faculty members provide the most significant support for individual student participation and achievement. On a more global level, they can enhance the campus environment that students experience. Their interactions with students determine, to a large degree, how students perceive their college experience. Students' perceptions affect their behaviors and academic outcomes, and the presence or absence of Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native faculty affects students' perceptions.

Most institutions responding to the HECB survey indicate they have a campus-wide plan for the recruitment and retention of faculty (58 percent) and staff (55 percent) of underrepresented population groups. However, only 23 percent use salary incentive packages to recruit and retain faculty and staff of color, and 32 percent host research centers on multiculturalism/diversity to facilitate faculty research and/or teaching.

The data in Table 9 show that regardless of sector, the percentage of Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native faculty is less than the percentage of students of color (see Tables 2 and 3 for enrollment data on students of color).

Table 9
Faculty members by race: Fall 2005

	Public <u>Four-Year</u>	Private <u>Four-Year</u>	Public <u>Two-Year</u>
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.8%	0.7%	1.6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	7.1%	5.7%	4.4%
African American	1.5%	3.2%	2.7%
Hispanic/Latino	2.3%	2.4%	2.9%
White	73.2%	81.4%	87.6%
Unknown race/ethnicity	6.7%	6.0%	0.7%
Nonresident Alien	8.4%	0.6%	0.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes: Data reflect full- and part-time faculty. "Nonresident alien" definition (as used in IPEDS): A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely. Private two-year data are not included due to small numbers. See Table 2 for data related to undergraduate enrollments. See Appendix Table A8 for additional faculty information.

Source: NCES IPEDS – 2005 Fall Staff Survey.

And, similar to enrollment statistics in the earlier tables, the percentage of students whose race/ethnicity is "unknown" (as reported in IPEDS) accounts for about six percent of faculty at four-year institutions – which is higher than several of the race/ethnicity categories. Nonetheless, based on available data for those faculty members who are identified with a specific race/ethnicity, the faculty do not reflect the race/ethnicity proportions seen in the student population. (For additional detail on faculty, see Table A9 in Appendix A.)

Institutions acknowledge the important function that faculty and staff fill as role models and student advisors. This has always been one of the major reasons why schools seek to increase the racial and ethnic diversity of their faculty and staff. However, institutions know that having Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native faculty and staff is not the only way to increase the participation and achievement of students of color. Furthermore, as evidenced by the percentages of Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native faculty present on Washington campuses, recruiting and retaining Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native faculty is a major challenge. Many of the colleges and universities, in addition to targeted recruitment and retention efforts, have focused their efforts on the professional development of all faculty and staff in terms of understanding how to effectively work with students of color. Some of these efforts are described below.

Washington State University College of Education's Cluster Hiring project is in the first stages of hiring five faculty members in the area of multicultural education. This "cluster" of faculty, headed by a senior professor, will anchor a supportive network and advance diversity within the college.

The Evergreen State College has sponsored Faculty Summer Institutes since 1995 to enhance the capacity of faculty to understand and work with diverse groups of people. The goals of these institutes are to study how faculty deal with issues of race in class, make the classroom more inclusive, and ensure that the academic work is relevant to a diverse student body. Between 26 and 52 percent of the faculty participate in the institutes each summer. Evergreen also offers workshops during its annual fall faculty retreat. The 2004 workshops resulted in recommendations from the Diversity Group (comprised of deans, faculty, and staff) that the president appoint a group to oversee the coordination of all campus activities related to promoting diversity.

Senior Academic Staff

Senior academic staff provide the academic leadership of an institution. Generally, administrators are promoted from the faculty ranks. Administrators are critical players in a number of decisions that affect the campus environment. While direct interactions with students may be limited, administrators work closely with faculty committees and typically have the final say on key decisions – such as faculty hiring, tenure and promotion decisions, new program development, and substantive changes to courses and/or curriculum.

The data in Table 10 indicate that the percentage of Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native senior administrators is less than the percentage of students of color (see Tables 2 and 3 for enrollment data on students of color) and more closely reflect the distribution of faculty. However, in aggregate, the representation of American Indians and African Americans in the administrative ranks is somewhat higher than in the faculty ranks.

Table 10

The percentage of Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/Alaska Native senior administrators: Spring 2006

	American <u>Indian</u>	Asian/ Pacific <u>Islander</u>	African <u>American</u>	<u>Hispanic</u>	White	<u>Male</u>	Female
Campus CEO ⁸							
(President/							
Chancellor)	-	3%	7%	3%	87%	72%	28%
Executive Vice							
President ⁹	-	5%	5%	2%	88%	58%	42%
Academic Officers,							
Directors and Deans							
(total/summary data							
for all positions)	3%	5%	5%	3%	85%	48%	<i>52%</i>

Source: HECB Survey May 2006.

Campus Environment

The environment that students inhabit plays an important role in encouraging participation and fostering academic success – or lack of success, in higher education. As mentioned above, faculty and senior academic staff are a major factor in engendering a hospitable and supportive campus environment. To some extent, different campuses face different challenges in assuring a comfortable and supportive campus climate. These challenges include the communities within which the campuses reside, as well as the Hispanic, African American, and American Indian/ Alaska Native students that enroll. The efforts that institutions make to meet these challenges range from leadership by high-level administrators, to curricular offerings, to campus-wide social and academic events.

The majority of colleges and universities report institutional support for diversity goals on campus, and 94 percent of institutions assign key administrative positions to addressing diversity goals. About 77 percent of responding institutions include progress in meeting diversity goals as an accountability measure and 68 percent evaluate university leaders based on achievement of campus goals for inclusion and engagement.

Most of the institutions responding to the HECB survey indicated that speaker forums and similar campus activities are some of the more successful strategies in nurturing an understanding and acceptance of diversity. **Western Washington University** has a Diversity Fund to support programs, forums, videoconferences, cultural presentations, and workshops designed to engender sensitivity and understanding of issues affecting ethnic

⁸Principal administrative official responsible for the direction of all operations of a campus or an institution of higher education (Chief Campus Officer in a system) (CUPA-HR 2005-06 Administrative Compensation Survey Position Descriptions).

⁹Principal administrative official, in lieu of the Chief Executive Officer, responsible for the direction of all operations of an institution of higher education. Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CUPA-HR 2005-06 Administrative Compensation Survey Position Descriptions).

minority faculty, staff, students, and the institution as a whole. **Central Washington University's** Diversity Education Center sponsors or promotes speakers, open discussions, and activities that explore diversity issues. The Center's goal is to serve as a model of inclusiveness

The Evergreen State College sponsors a Diversity Lecture/Seminar Series. With race as its focus, the series objectives include modeling an inclusive and empowered learning community, providing academic speakers and curriculum-support materials that faculty can use in their academic programs, and supporting faculty development through the cultivation of a faculty reflective writing group that explores the process of teaching about racism and other topics dealing with social injustice. Participating faculty continue to meet periodically to reflect, write, and discuss their related classroom experiences, as well as their personal and professional development efforts.

Central Washington University's Diversity Council is a standing committee of the university that works through 11 commissions focusing on issues specific to particular groups. The long-term goals of this council are to nurture recognition and respect for diversity, achieve excellence and quality through diversity, and address diversity issues that arise on campus. The council submits an annual report to the president that includes recommendations for action. The president takes action on recommendations and follows up with updates on progress.

Washington State University created a new position of "Vice Provost for Equity and Diversity" in fall 2004 that is charged with developing and implementing a strategic diversity plan for the university system. A complementary program at WSU is the Diversity Benchmarking Project, in which a team of faculty, students, and administrators in collaboration with the University of Southern California's Center for Urban Education is developing an "equity scorecard" related to educational outcomes for underrepresented students.

St. Martin's University recently initiated an all-campus Diversity and Equity Team to provide ongoing assessment and recommendations to the college on diversity and equity issues.

The **University of Washington Tacoma** has established collaborative partnerships with its external community, including such organizations as Centro Latino, the Urban League, and the Metropolitan Development Council – all of which serve underrepresented members of the community. UWT works with these organizations to help those it serves access higher education opportunities, and supports these organizations through volunteer service for their events and activities, and/or through use of campus facilities for these events. This has provided opportunities to promote the institution as a positive, accessible choice for higher education.

Seattle Pacific University has developed numerous partnerships, particularly with ethnic churches.

Conclusion

Judging from the examples provided by institutions on their most successful strategies, there is no dearth of individual and institutional commitment to enhancing diversity on Washington's college and university campuses. The negative effects of I-200 in the year following its passage appears to have diminished to some extent. However, research illustrates unacceptably high participation and achievement gaps among racial and ethnic groups.

According to the data in this report:

- The percentage of some minority groups enrolling in college fell in 1999; however in 2002 and 2003, the percentages enrolling in college directly out of high school had surpassed the 2000 rates for all groups except American Indians.
- The percentage of minority students entering college varies in the two-year and four-year systems, and between the public and private institutions.
- The percentage of Hispanic and African American students enrolled in college is lower than the percentage of the college-aged state population for both groups.
- Minority students are under-represented in graduate and professional enrollments.
- Some minority groups are less likely to complete or maintain progress in two-year degree programs.
- Some minority groups are less likely to complete degree programs within three years (for two-year programs) or six years (for four-year programs).
- Degree completion for some minority groups is lower than the college-aged population of those groups.
- The percentage of minority faculty is much smaller than the comparable undergraduate enrollment.
- The percentage of senior administrators of color is less than the percentage of students of color.

Despite ongoing diversity programs and outreach activities, differences remain. Certainly, without these many efforts, the disparities among racial and ethnic groups would likely be even greater. Nonetheless, Washington's higher education system can – and must – do a better job of ensuring equality of opportunity and achievement. This effort is particularly important given the state's changing demographics.

If the state's higher education system does not eliminate these disparities in participation and achievement, many of Washington's postsecondary students will not enjoy the quality of life that accrues with higher levels of educational achievement. Many will be deprived of a richly diverse intellectual and social environment.

On a societal scale, the divisiveness of unequal opportunity will hamper the spirit of possibility that is fostered by higher education. And perhaps most importantly, Washington state will not be well positioned to meet the needs of a vital and global economy.

Today, state efforts must consider the legal environment in which Washington's colleges and universities operate. The passage of Initiative 200 in 1998 affected every facet of affirmative action efforts in higher education – from outreach to graduation. At the time, then-University of Washington President Richard McCormick told the Association of American Colleges and Universities, "We have failed to make our schools good enough and we have failed to ensure truly equal opportunities for our minority citizens. Affirmative action was a way around those failures – a useful and even indispensable path for many. But now that detour is closing, and together we must finally get serious about building a better road."

In 2003, the U.S. Supreme Court's decisions in Grutter and Gratz versus Bollinger appeared to give back to states such as Washington the ability to consider race and ethnicity in admissions decisions, while denying schools that utilized numerical point systems the ability to continue to do so (Coleman et al. 2004).

What came out of these Supreme Court decisions, however, was more far-reaching than admissions policies. Indeed, anti-affirmative action organizations have become ever more vigilant about higher education policies and practices that involve race, ethnicity, and gender (Selingo 1/14/05). The threat of lawsuits hangs over both public and private institutions. In fact, Selingo indicated that many institutions – including Carnegie Mellon, Harvard and Yale Universities – have already opened "a wide range of what were once exclusively minority scholarships and programs to students of any race."

"Achieving diversity on college campuses does not require quotas. Nor does diversity warrant admission of unqualified applicants. However, the diversity we seek, and the future of the nation, do require that colleges and universities continue to be able to reach out and make a conscious effort to build healthy and diverse learning environments appropriate for their missions. The success of higher education and the strength of our democracy depend on it."

ACE, "On the Importance of Diversity in Higher Education"

¹⁰I-200 is described in statute (RCW 49.60.400-401) as: The state shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any individual or group on the basis of race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national origin in the operation of public employment, public education, or public contracting.

References

The data used in this report are derived from several sources. Published data were obtained from publications and websites of Washington's Office of Financial Management (OFM), Washington's Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI), Washington's State Board for Community and Technical Colleges (SBCTC), the U.S. Census Bureau, institutions of higher education in Washington state, and the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). Data provided by NCES are taken from the annual federal survey of higher education institutions – this survey is known as IPEDS (Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System).

Unpublished data were provided directly to the HECB by the State Board for Community and Technical Colleges. Finally, the HECB surveyed higher education institutions about their policies and strategies for enhancing diversity on their campuses in December 2004 and again in May 2006.

- Adelman, Clifford. (June 1999). Answers in the Tool Box: Academic Intensity, Attendance Patterns, and Bachelor's Degree Attainment. Washington, D.C.: Office of Education Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education.
- Choy, Susan P. (2002). Access & Persistence: Findings from 10 Years of Longitudinal Research on Students. Washington, DC: American Council on Education, Center for Policy Analysis.
- Coleman, Arthur L, Palmer, Scott R., Holland & Knight LLP. (2004). Diversity in Higher Education: A Strategic Planning and Policy Manual Regarding Federal Law in Admissions, Financial Aid, and Outreach. NY: The College Board.
- HECB. (2005). 2004 Strategic Master Plan for Higher Education. Olympia, WA: HECB.
- HECB. (May 2001). Postsecondary Opportunity and Achievement in Washington. Olympia, WA: HECB.
- HECB. (December 1999). Diversity and Participation of People of Color in Higher Education: 1999 Report. Olympia, WA: HECB.
- Lotkowski, Veronica A., Robbins, Steven B., Noeth, Richard J. (2004). The Role of Academic and Non-Academic Factors in Improving College Retention. ACT Policy Report. Iowa City, IA: ACT, Inc.
- Selingo, Jeffrey. (January 14, 2005). Michigan: Who Really Won? The Chronicle of Higher Education, pages A21-A23.
- Smith, Daryl G. (1997). Diversity Works: The Emerging Picture of How Students Benefit. Association of American Colleges and Universities (AAC&U).

Appendix A

Detailed Data Tables

Table A1: Total state population by ethnicity/race
Table A2: Number and percentage of Washington public high school graduates going directly to college by race/ethnicity
Table A3: First-time-in-college freshmen by race/ethnicity and sector
Table A4: 2004 population ages 17-39, and fall 2005 undergraduate enrollment
Table A5: 2004 population ages 17-39, and fall 2005 graduate/professional enrollment
Table A6: Community/Technical Colleges: Percentage of full-time students making "substantial progress" and percentage of "early leavers"
Table A7: Number in cohort and number of completers within 150% of normal time
Table A8: Degrees awarded in Washington by race/ethnicity: 2004-05
Table A9: Number and percentage of faculty by race/ethnicity: two selected years

<u>Table A1</u>
Total state population by ethnicity/race

	2004 Actuals		2010 Pro	<u>iections</u>	2020 Projections	
	<u>Number</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>%</u>	Number	<u>%</u>
Non-Hispanic						
American Indian/Alaska Native	91,053	1.5%	97,998	1.4%	110,433	1.5%
Asian/Pacific Islander	383,939	6.2%	506,112	7.4%	658,019	8.5%
African American	200,866	3.3%	231,110	3.4%	264,889	3.4%
White	4,808,975	78.0%	5,123,716	75.2%	5,540,999	71.7%
Two or More Races	165,322	2.7%	201,254	3.0%	279,143	3.6%
Hispanic, Any Race	517,645	8.4%	651,0275	9.6%	871,896	11.3%
Total	6,167,800	100%	6,811,217	100%	7,725,379	100%
Percentage Minority (all except "White")	22%		25%		28%

Notes: The racial/ethnic groups are mutually exclusive.

Source: Office of Financial Management Data for 2004 retrieved from http://www.ofm.wa.gov/pop/race/2004%20 race_estimates.xls. Data for 2010 and 2020 retrieved from http://www.ofm.wa.gov/pop/race/projections, March 2006.

Table A2
Number and percentage of Washington public high school graduates going directly to college by race/ethnicity

Graduation Cohort

	199	8	199	9	200	0	200	1	200	2	2003	3
	Number of Graduates		Number of Graduates	% to College	Number of Graduates		Number of Graduates	% to College	Number of Graduates	% to College	Number of Graduates	% to College
American Indian/ Alaska Native	527	52.2%	543	45.9%	647	41.4%	689	41.1%	782	39.8%	798	37.8%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	2,511	71.1%	2,549	72.5%	3,158	65.0%	3,068	68.7%	3,521	70.2%	3,881	69.1%
African American	811	55.0%	791	53.4%	1,096	44.3%	1,167	47.9%	1,337	50.0%	1,542	49.1%
Hispanic/Latino	1,461	49.8%	1,419	46.4%	1,742	42.7%	1,971	42.4%	2,405	45.8%	2,663	45.5%
White	26,494	55.7%	24,413	56.5%	30,015	49.8%	29,133	54.6%	32,639	55.3%	33,272	55.2%
Total	31,804	56.5%	29,715	57.1%	36,658	50.5%	36,028	54.7%	40,684	55.5%	42,156	55.3%

Source: SESRC (various years). Washington State Graduate Follow-up Study: All graduates, first year after graduation, statewide results. Olympia, WA: OSPI.

<u>Table A3</u> First-time-in-college freshmen by race/ethnicity and sector

		Public Four-Y	Year		
	American	Asian/	African		
	<u>Indian</u>	Pacific Islander	<u>American</u>	Hispanic	White
Fall 1998	214	1,429	308	457	8,103
Fall 1999	172	1,506	246	368	8,217
Fall 2003	189	1,955	319	595	9,138
Fall 2005	223	2,091	400	714	9595
		Public Two-Y	'ear		
	American	Asian/	African		
	<u>Indian</u>	Pacific Islander	American	<u>Hispanic</u>	White
Fall 1998	373	1,032	588	838	12,047
Fall 1999	254	986	676	855	12,528
Fall 2003	360	1,270	848	1,177	13,736
Fall 2005	261	1,006	731	1,117	11,822

Note: Enrollments overall at public two-year have declined in the past two years.

Private Four-Year						
American	Asian/	African				
<u>Indian</u>	Pacific Islander	American	Hispanic	White		
54	475	126	167	3,862		
48	419	125	200	3,963		
124	547	236	386	5,167		
107	580	251	404	4,977		
	<u>Indian</u> 54 48 124	American Asian/ Indian Pacific Islander 54 475 48 419 124 547	Indian Pacific Islander American 54 475 126 48 419 125 124 547 236	American Asian/ African Indian Pacific Islander American Hispanic 54 475 126 167 48 419 125 200 124 547 236 386		

	Private Two-Year and less than Two-Year							
	American	Asian/	African					
	<u>Indian</u>	Pacific Islander	<u>American</u>	Hispanic	White			
Fall 1998	114	386	364	313	4,238			
Fall 1999	122	453	388	325	4,060			
Fall 2003	123	463	496	286	3,584			
Fall 2004*	74	445	448	282	3,548			
* Latest available dat	a.							

Note: Students of "unknown" and "nonresident alien" backgrounds are excluded from the table. Because of the small numbers for some of the racial groups, the findings should be interpreted with caution.

Source: NCES, IPEDS Fall Enrollment Survey, 1998, 1999, 2003. 2004, 2005.

<u>Table A4</u> 2004 population ages 17-39, and fall 2005 undergraduate enrollment

Undergraduate Enrollment by Sector

Racial/Ethnic Group	Population Ages 17-39	2005 Total Undergraduate <u>Enrollment</u>	Public Four-Year	Public <u>Two-Year</u>	Private <u>Four-Year</u>	Private Two-Year and Less than Two-Year *
American Indian/Alaska Native	32,340	5,190	1,438	3,066	548	138
Asian/Pacific Islander	150,294	27,252	10,787	12,890	3,000	575
African American	77,087	13,039	2,503	8,510	1,445	581
Hispanic/Latino	223,564	16,670	3,884	10,365	1,984	437
White	1,450,223	212,464	57,308	124,154	25,420	5,582
Two or More Races	52,838					
Unknown race/ethnicity		41,241	8,578	28,098	3,885	680
Nonresident Alien		6,879	1,952	3,762	1,147	18
Total	1,986,346	322,735	86,450	190,845	37,429	8,011

* 2004 data

Notes.

- IPEDS enrollment numbers do not use the category of "two or more races" which is found in census/population data.
- Enrollments for students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic backgrounds are included to indicate their proportions of the overall total. ("Nonresident alien" definition in IPEDS: A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely.)
- Public two-year data includes Northwest Indian College (federally funded tribal college).

Sources: NCES: IPEDS 2005 Fall Enrollment Survey (2004 used for private two-year and less than two-year); Office of Financial Management Web site: "2004 Population Estimates by Age, Gender, Race and Hispanic Origin, Using the Office of Management and Budget New Classifications: State of Washington and Its Counties," November 2004 (latest update).

Table A5 2004 population ages 17-39, and fall 2005 graduate/professional enrollment

				Graduate/Professional <u>Enrollment by Sector</u>		
	2004 Population <u>Ages 17-39</u>	Total Graduate/ Professional <u>Enrollment</u>	Public <u>Four-Year</u>	Private Four-Year		
American Indian/Alaska Native	32,340	435	260	175		
Asian/Pacific Islander	150,294	2,427	1,540	887		
African American	77,087	941	395	546		
Hispanic/Latino	223,564	1,080	645	435		
White	1,450,223	21,640	12,587	9,053		
Two or More Races	52,838					
Unknown race/ethnicity		4,040	2,126	1,914		
Nonresident Alien		2,841	2,330	511		
Total	1,986,346	33,404	19,883	13,521		

Notes:

- IPEDS enrollment numbers do not use the category of "two or more races" which is found in census/population data.
- Enrollments for students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic backgrounds are included to indicate their proportions of the overall total. ("Nonresident alien" definition in IPEDS: A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely.)

Sources: NCES: IPEDS 2005 Fall Enrollment Survey; Office of Financial Management Web site: "2004 Population Estimates by Age, Gender, Race and Hispanic Origin, Using the Office of Management and Budget New Classifications: State of Washington and Its Counties," November 2004 (latest update).

Table A6
Community / Technical Colleges: Percentage of full-time students making "substantial progress" and percentage of "early leavers"

	Average 1996-2000		Average 1997-2001		Average 1998-2002		Average 1999-2003	
	Substantial <u>Progress</u>	Early <u>Leavers</u>	Substantial <u>Progress</u>	Early <u>Leavers</u>	Substantial <u>Progress</u>	Early <u>Leavers</u>	Substantial <u>Progress</u>	Early <u>Leavers</u>
American Indian/ Alaska Native	59%	12%	58%	13%	59%	14%	58%	14%
Asian/ Pacific Islander	71%	9%	71%	9%	72%	9%	73%	9%
African American	56%	15%	57%	15%	58%	15%	58%	15%
Hispanic/Latino	62%	11%	61%	11%	62%	11%	62%	11%
White	69%	9%	69%	9%	69%	9%	70%	9%

Note: Percentages reflect students who are seeking associate degrees at community colleges, or who enroll in professional/technical programs at technical colleges.

Source: State Board for Community and Technical College Academic Year Reports (various years).

Table A7
Number in cohort and number of completers within 150% of normal time

	Public Four-Year		Private	Private Four-Year		Public Two-Year		Two-Year
	# in <u>Cohort</u>	# Completers	# in <u>Cohort</u>	# Completers	# in <u>Cohort</u>	# Completers	# in <u>Cohort</u>	# Completers
American Indian/Alaska Native	172	88	41	20	255	52	106	58
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,497	1,040	379	248	876	329	336	233
African American	244	115	108	59	469	118	484	288
Hispanic/Latino	372	210	161	93	810	211	293	176
White	8,127	5,251	3,706	2,528	8,980	3,038	2,485	1,655
Total	10,412	6,704	4,395	2,948	11,390	3,748	3,704	2,417

Notes. Four-year cohorts seeking a bachelor's degree started in fall 1999, and two-year cohorts seeking an associate's degree started in fall 2002. Program length for certificate-seeking students varies. Rates reflect those who initially enroll as full-time first-time freshmen, and who continue and graduate at the same institution where they first enrolled within 150% of "normal" time. (Transfer students who graduate are not included in the data). Students from "unknown" and "nonresident alien" racial/ethnic categories are not included.

Sources. NCES, IPEDS 2005 Graduation Rate Survey (2004 Survey for Private Two-Year).

Table A8
Degrees awarded in Washington by race/ethnicity: 2004-05

		ciate <u>rees</u>	Bach <u>Deg</u>		Mas <u>Deg</u>			toral <u>rees</u>		ssional <u>crees</u>
	Number	Percent	<u>Number</u>	Percent	<u>Number</u>	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
American Indian/ Alaska Native	361	1.6%	405	1.4%	111	1.3%	7	0.9%	17	1.2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,651	7.4%	2,997	10.6%	546	6.2%	48	6.1%	161	11.7%
African American	759	3.4%	724	2.6%	228	2.6%	12	1.5%	40	2.9%
Hispanic/Latino	1,156	5.2%	1,006	3.6%	287	3.3%	23	2.9%	43	3.1%
White	15,888	71.1%	19,322	68.4%	5,748	65.5%	425	53.6%	988	72.0%
Unknown race/ethnicity	1,586	7.1%	2,953	10.4%	1,172	13.4%	67	8.4%	97	7.1%
Nonresident Alien	937	4.2%	858	3.0%	682	7.8%	211	26.6%	27	2.0%
Total	22,338		28,265		8,774		793		1,373	

Notes: Data reflect degrees awarded by all institutions in Washington state, both public and private. Therefore, associate degree data include awards at community/technical colleges, as well as some associate degrees awarded by private two-year and four-year institutions.

Source: IPEDS, Completions Survey 2004-05.

Table A9
Number and percentage of faculty by race/ethnicity: two selected years

Fall 2005	Public <u>Four -Year</u>		Private <u>Four-Year</u>		Public <u>Two-Year</u>	
	<u>Number</u>	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
American Indian/Alaska Native	76	0.8%	41	0.7%	170	1.6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	638	7.1%	327	5.7%	466	4.4%
African American	131	1.5%	185	3.2%	283	2.7%
Hispanic/Latino	211	2.3%	136	2.4%	307	2.9%
White	6,573	73.2%	4,664	81.4%	9,236	87.6%
Unknown race/ethnicity	602	6.7%	342	6.0%	79	0.7%
Nonresident Alien	757	8.4%	32	0.6%	8	0.1%
Total	8,988		5,727		10,549	

<u>Fall 1995</u>	Public <u>Four -Year</u>		Private <u>Four-Year</u>		Public <u>Two-Year</u>	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
American Indian/Alaska Native	40	0.6%	19	0.5%	113	1.2%
Asian/Pacific Islander	364	5.1%	129	3.6%	291	3.0%
African American	111	1.6%	60	1.7%	172	1.8%
Hispanic/Latino	99	1.4%	44	1.2%	240	2.5%
White	6,035	85.4%	3,271	91.9%	8,739	91.3%
Unknown race/ethnicity	109	1.5%	33	0.9%	8	0.1%
Nonresident Alien	312	4.4%	4	0.1%	5	0.1%
Total	7,070		3,560		9,568	

Notes: Data reflect full- and part-time faculty. "Public two-year" includes Northwest Indian College (federally funded). "Nonresident alien" definition (as used in IPEDS): A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and who is in this country on a visa or temporary basis and does not have the right to remain indefinitely. Private two-year data are not included due to small numbers.

Source: NCES IPEDS – Fall Staff Surveys.

Appendix B

Pre-College Programs

- Federal Programs: GEAR UP and TRIO
- Washington Education Foundation Programs
- The HERO (Higher Education Readiness Opportunity) Initiative

Pre-college programs

Federal programs that are designated to serve low-income and minority students in Washington have provided limited, but valuable, services to those students. GEAR UP programs serve about 21,000 students from grades 7 through 12. TRIO's Talent Search program serves 4,300 students and Upward Bound, 885 students. WEF's Achievers Program has provided services and scholarships to 2,415 students with a goal of 5,000 students over ten years.

Federal programs (GEAR UP and TRIO)

Type of Program	City	Dollars
Central Washington University GEAR UP		
Partnership	Ellensburg	\$ 796,000
Yakima School District No. 7 GEAR UP		
Partnership	Yakima	\$1,279,598
Eastern Washington University GEAR UP		
Partnership	Cheney	\$ 400,262
University of Washington GEAR UP Partnership	Seattle	\$2,176,608
Central Washington University GEAR UP		
Partnership	Ellensburg	\$1,148,000
Evergreen State College GEAR UP Partnership	Olympia	\$ 877,096
Washington State University GEAR UP		
Partnership	Richland	\$1,536,000
Wenatchee School District No. 246 GEAR UP		
Partnership	Wenatchee	\$ 147,908
Heritage College GEAR UP Partnership	Toppenish	\$ 753,382
University of Washington GEAR UP Partnership	Seattle	\$1,010,445
Bellingham School District State GEAR UP	Bellingham	\$ 150,000
Eastmont School District State GEAR UP	East Wenatchee	\$ 150,000
Everett School District State GEAR UP	Everett	\$ 112,500
Federal Way School District State GEAR UP	Federal Way	\$ 150,000
Inchelium School District State GEAR UP	Inchelium	\$ 15,000
Monroe School District State GEAR UP	Monroe	\$ 75,000
Okanogan School District State GEAR UP	Okanogan	\$ 112,500
Quincy School District State GEAR UP	Quincy	\$ 112,500
Wapato School District State GEAR UP	Wapato	\$ 150,000
Wenatchee School District State GEAR UP	Wenatchee	\$ 75,000
West Valley School District State GEAR UP	Spokane	\$ 150,000
Vancouver School District State GEAR UP	Vancouver	\$ 300,000
Big Bend Community College Upward Bound	Moses Lake	\$ 484,364
City of Seattle Human Services Department		-
Upward Bound	Seattle	\$ 402,999

Federal programs (GEAR UP and TRIO) (continued)

Type of Program	City	Dollars
Columbia Basin College Upward Bound	Pasco	\$ 393,802
Evergreen State College Upward Bound	Olympia	\$ 483,561
Metropolitan Development Council/ Southern	•	
Pierce County Upward Bound	Tacoma	\$ 379,496
North Seattle Community College Upward		
Bound	Seattle	\$ 332,117
South Seattle Community College Upward		
Bound	Seattle	\$ 277,677
University of Washington/ Seattle Upward		
Bound	Seattle	\$ 410,987
Washington State University/ Pullman		
(Yakima) Upward Bound	Yakima	\$ 220,000
Washington State University/ Pullman		
(Okanogan) Upward Bound	Okanogan	\$ 220,000
Washington State University/ Spokane Upward		
Bound	Spokane	\$ 220,000
Yakima Valley Community College Upward		
Bound	Yakima	\$ 475,807
Centralia College Talent Search	Centralia	\$ 367,980
Metropolitan Development Council Talent		
Search	Tacoma	\$ 204,000
Northwest Indian College Talent Search	Bellingham	\$ 250,261
South Seattle Community College Talent		
Search	Seattle	\$ 250,261
Tacoma Community College Talent Search	Tacoma	\$ 204,000
University of Washington/ Seattle Talent		
Search	Seattle	\$ 304,849
Walla Walla Community College Talent Search	Walla Walla	\$ 204,000

Washington Education Foundation Programs

The Achievers program will serve 5,000 students over ten years, ending in 2010. Over 2,415 students have received services and scholarships so far, amounting to \$25,400,000 spent.

Achiever School	City	
Cleveland High School	Seattle	
Clover Park High School	Lakewood	
Davis High School	Yakima	
Foster High School	Tukwila	
Henry Foss High School	Tacoma	
Kent-Meridian High School	Kent	
Kittitas High School	Kittitas	
Lincoln High School	Tacoma	
Mabton High School	Mabton	
Mariner High School	Everett	
Mount Tahoma High School	Tacoma	
Stevenson High School	Stevenson	
Tonasket High School	Tonasket	
Truman High School	Federal Way	
West Valley High School	Spokane	
Yelm High School	Yelm	

The HERO (Higher Education Readiness Opportunity) Initiative

The HERO Initiative provides direct academic and leadership support to students, their families, and academic communities to ensure that students have the resources to succeed in high school and beyond.

Achiever School	City	
Cleveland High School	Seattle	
Clover Park High School	Lakewood	
Davis High School	Yakima	
Foster High School	Tukwila	
Henry Foss High School	Tacoma	
Kent-Meridian High School	Kent	
Lincoln High School	Tacoma	
Mabton High School	Mabton	
Mount Tahoma High School	Tacoma	
Truman High School	Federal Way	